Exhibit A

| WITHERS BERGMAN LLP Brian Dunefsky (BD-3554) Dara G. Hammerman (DH-1591) 430 Park Avenue, 10 th Floor New York, New York 10022 212.848.9800 (p) 212.848.9888 (f) | |
|---|---|
| UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK | |
| SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION CORPORATION, | |
| Plaintiff, | Adversary Proceeding |
| -against- | No. 08-01789 (BRL) |
| BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES, | SIPA Liquidation |
| LLC, Defendants. | OBJECTION TO TRUSTEE IRVING PICARD'S DETERMINATION OF CLAIM |
| In re BERNARD L. MADOFF, | |

Saucelle Investment SA Panama ("Saucelle"), by and through its attorney of record, Withers Bergman LLP, hereby objects to the determination by Trustee Irving Picard denying Saucelle's claim, number 015356, for the full protection provided under SIPA.

Debtor

- Pursuant to the order of this Court dated December 23, 2008, on or about
 July 2, 2009, Saucelle filed a Notice of Claim with the Trustee.
- 2. By letter dated December 8, 2009, the Trustee informed Saucelle that "[b]ased on a review of available books and records of BLMIS by the Trustee's staff, you do not have an account with BLMIS. Because you did not have an account, you are not a

customer of BLMID under SIPA, as that term is defined at 15 U.S.C. § 78111(2).

Accordingly, your Claim for securities and/or a credit balance is **DENIED**."

- 3. Saucelle hereby objects to the Trustee's determination denying its claim on the basis that the determination that Saucelle is not a customer is, as a matter of fact and law, incorrect.
- 4. As the Trustee has previously acknowledged, Madoff structured his scheme such that only a limited number of entities "directly" invested in BLMIS, with investments being made by many investors, such as Saucelle, through the limited number of feeder funds approved by Madoff. The Trustee, in denying such investors' claims, has asserted a highly technical interpretation of the relevant SIPA statutes. However, individuals who invested with BLMIS through feeder funds are exactly the type of investors for whom SIPA was enacted to protect, and they should not be penalized because of the structure Madoff himself created to advance his fraud.
- 5. Upon information and belief, Saucelle understands that certain legislation has been, or may be, introduced seeking to expressly require SIPC to allow the claims of supposed "indirect investors," such as Saucelle. By this Objection, Saucelle hereby expressly reserves its right to benefit from any such legislation or any other legislative measures taken affecting which claimants may recover from SIPC.
- 6. Saucelle refers to the Objections filed by claimants who received letters from the Trustee denying their claims on the basis that they too were not "customers" of BLMIS, and hereby adopts those Objections herein to the extent they are applicable to Saucelle.

826559.2.

7. Saucelle hereby reserves the right to amend or supplement this Objection, and any failure to object on a particular procedural or substantive ground shall not be deemed a waiver of any such rights.

Dated: January 5, 2009

WITHERS BERGMAN LLP

Brian Dunefsky (BD-3554)

Dara G. Hammerman (DH-1591) 430 Park Avenue, 10th Floor New York, NY 10022-3505

Phone: (212) 848-9800 Fax: (212) 848-9888

826559.2.

3